Septima P. Clark Corporate Academy

1929 Grimball Road Charleston, SC 29412

Grades 9-12 High School

Enrollment 99 Students

Principal Paula D. Gaffney, Ph.D. 843-762-2774

Superintendent Dr. Maria L. Goodloe–Johnson 843–937–6319

Board Chair Ms. Nancy Cook 873–760–2635

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

2006_F

ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

0 3 8 3 15

IMPROVEMENT RATING

N/AV

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

МО

This school met 2 out of 5 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFO	PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD								
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress						
2003	N/A	N/A	N/A						
2004	N/A	N/A	No						
2005	Unsatisfactory	N/A	No						
2006	Unsatisfactory	N/AV	No						

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS									
		Our School		High Schools with					
				Students Like Ours					
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006			
Passed 2 subtests	41.7	41.2	47.6	N/A	52.8	60.6			
Passed 1 subtest	33.3	52.9	33.3	N/A	23.2	18.0			
Passed no subtests	25.0	5.9	19.0	N/A	24.0	21.9			

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	76.9%	85.6%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	0.0	3.3
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	0.0	3.5
Seniors who met the grade point average	11.1	35.1

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	30	156
Number of Diplomas	11	101
Rate	36.7%	67.5%

END OF COURSE TESTS		
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	81.3	67.1
English 1	50.0	50.0
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	11.8	33.3
Physical Science	24.4	23.0
All Subjects	43.8	43.7

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2006		Eligibility Schola		Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	13	76.9	18	0.0	11	36.7	No	
Gender								
Male	6	100.0	9	0.0	17	41.2	N/A	
Female	7	57.1	9	0.0	13	30.8	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	2	100.0	2	0.0	4	25.0	N/A	
African American	9	77.8	16	0.0	25	40.0	N/A	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	2	50.0	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	13	76.9	15	0.0	30	36.7	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	3	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	13	76.9	18	0.0	30	36.7	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	12	83.3	18	0.0	29	37.9	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	9	77.8	22	40.9	22	40.9	N/A	
Full-pay meals	4	75.0	2	0.0	8	25.0	N/A	

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

			GROU	

HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GRO	UP								
	Enrollment 1st Day of Text	% Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation Objective Mos
En			s - State	Performa	nce Obje	ctive = 52	3%		
All Students	21	100.0	35.0	35.0	30.0	N/A	30.0	No	Yes
Gender									
Male	11	100.0	54.5	18.2	27.3	N/A	27.3	N/A	N/A
Female	10	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
African American	19	100.0	31.6	36.8	31.6	N/A	31.6	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	21	100.0	35.0	35.0	30.0	N/A	30.0	N/A	N/A
Disabled	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	21	100.0	35.0	35.0	30.0	N/A	30.0	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	21	100.0	35.0	35.0	30.0	N/A	30.0	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	14	100.0	28.6	42.9	28.6	N/A	28.6	I/S	I/S
Full-pay meals	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
M	lathemation	cs - State	Performa	ance Obje	ective = 50	0.0%			

N	lathemati	cs - State	Performa	ance Obje	ective = 50	0.0%			
All Students	21	100.0	40.0	50.0	10.0	N/A	15.0	No	Yes
Gender									
Male	11	100.0	27.3	54.5	18.2	N/A	18.2	N/A	N/A
Female	10	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
African American	19	100.0	42.1	52.6	5.3	N/A	10.5	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	21	100.0	40.0	50.0	10.0	N/A	15.0	N/A	N/A
Disabled	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	21	100.0	40.0	50.0	10.0	N/A	15.0	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	21	100.0	40.0	50.0	10.0	N/A	15.0	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	14	100.0	35.7	57.1	7.1	N/A	14.3	I/S	I/S
Full-pay meals	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School	
Students (n= 99)			Zino Guio		
Retention rate	40.9%	Up from 37.2%	10.1%	7.0%	
Attendance rate	93.6%	Down from 95.2%	94.8%	95.5%	
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	Down from 1.9%	3.7%	7.9%	
With disabilities other than speech	4.3%	Down from 4.7%	15.8%	12.3%	
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	68.7% 6.1%	Up from 57.8% Down from 14.4%	13.6% 2.1%	9.5% 1.2%	
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	14.6% N/A	Up from 0.0% N/A	6.0% N/A	11.2% N/A	
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship* Annual dropout rate	0.0% 1.2%	Down from 14.0%	3.0% 3.5%	10.2% 2.8%	
Career/technology students in	0.0%	No change	3.4%	3.5%	
co-curricular organizations Enrollment in career/technology center courses	38	Down from 50	290	448	
Students participating in worked-based experiences	18.8%	Down from 41.4%	17.3%	24.2%	
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	34.2%	Down from 44.0%	73.3%	80.0%	
Career/technology completers placed * Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem Teachers (n= 20)	N/A ents.	N/A	98.9%	99.1%	
, ,	60.0%	Un from 50 00/	49.3%	55.5%	
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	N/AV	Up from 56.3%	49.5% N/AV	00.0% N/AV	
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers		N/A	12.5%	9.6%	
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	38.9%	Down from 42.9%	18.3%	9.9%	
Teachers returning from previous year		N/A	81.0%	86.3%	
Teacher attendance rate		Down from 97.8%	95.0%	95.3%	
Average teacher salary	, ,	Down 0.5%	\$41,015	\$42,943	
Prof. development days/teacher	15.8 days	Up from 14.3 days	11.6 days	11.2 days	
School					
Principal's years at school		Up from 2.0 Down from 10.8 to 1	3.0 22.3 to 1	3.0 25.7 to 1	
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects Prime instructional time	9.9 10 1	Down from 92.6%	87.7%	89.3%	
Dollars spent per pupil*		Up 27.7%	\$8,102	\$6,792	
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*		Up from 47.1%	52.1%	55.3%	
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	58.8%		59.0%	61.1%	
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No change	Good	Excellent	
Parents attending conferences		No change	87.4%	92.8%	
SACS accreditation		No change	Yes	Yes	
Character development	Excellent	Up from Good	Good	Good	
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.		_ Our	District	State	
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highl	y qualified teache		0.7%	6.2%	
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers 10.5% 10.2%					
	0.1	State Object	ctive Met St	ate Objective	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

0.0%

94.0%*

Yes

No

Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school

Student attendance in this school *or greater than last year

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Septima P. Clark Corporate Academy, a magnet high school in Charleston County School District, provides College Prep, Tech Prep, Honors and Advanced Placement courses in a nurturing school environment. Supportive services are provided to enable students to stay focused and earn a high school diploma. Through our partnership with Communities in Schools (CIS), students in need of a positive relationship with a caring adult are matched with mentors. These volunteer mentors meet with students regularly to help them learn the importance of making good decisions.

Clark Academy facilitates academic success by providing experiences and opportunities not commonly available in larger schools. Advanced Placement courses in United States history and physics were implemented this school year. Each student who had completed the prerequisite courses was invited to enroll in AP courses.

The Middle Grades Acceleration Program (M-GAP) has been in place for two years. This program allows over-aged eighth graders to demonstrate improvement in reading and math ability in addition to earning four high school credits. Three of the M-GAP students earned five high school credits. Earning high school credits while being an eighth grader enables M-GAP students to enter ninth grade on very strong footing. Their success rate for earning a high school diploma increases with the solid foundation presented through the M-GAP program.

Community involvement increases each year. Members from the community meet annually with students sponsoring our Teen Summit. Sigma Phi Pi Fraternity recognized four seniors for overcoming adversity. All students wrote essays and competed by grade level for the Annual TurnAround Awards. A member from the community provided \$1600 to be shared with seven of these students for turning their lives around. This is our fifth year of maintaining our relationship with Marriott Vacation Club International and the Arts Center of Coastal Carolina providing exposure and career opportunities in hospitality and the arts.

All students participated in Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) testing. We completed MAP testing first and second semesters to measure deficits, strengths and improvement. MAP scores provide appropriate instructional levels to enable teachers to differentiate instruction.

Eleventh grade student surveys indicated that 100% of our students think the courses provided at Clark Academy are challenging and that teachers have the expectation that students will behave and learn. One hundred percent of the students know the rules and the consequences for breaking the rules. With a zero tolerance for violence and illegal drugs, 100% of the students feel safe at school during the school day. With respect to parents, 100% of the eleventh graders think parents are welcome at the school. With the monthly teacher/parent communications, parents know what their children are expected to learn.

Paula D. Gaffney, Ph.D., Principal Ellen R. Fipps, School Improvement Council

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	15	6	4
Percent satisfied with learning environment	86.7%	I/S	I/S
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	86.7%	I/S	I/S
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	40.0%	I/S	I/S

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.